

2024 (G24) Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program BLM - Redding Field Office's Factual Findings

The information provided below is the OHMVR Division Factual Findings for this Applicant. The information provided reflects the OHMVR Division's review and determination of the Applicant's final Application.

For information regarding the appeal process, see Section 4970.17 of the [Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program regulations webpage](https://ohv.parks.ca.gov/?page_id=23747)
https://ohv.parks.ca.gov/?page_id=23747

General Evaluation Criteria:

- #1d – OHV Opportunity Ratio. Add 5 points.
- #6 – The Applicant has been responsive. Add 3 points.

Ground Operations: G24-01-14-G01

Project Description – Background

- No change.

Project Description – Project Description

- No change.

Project Description – List of Project Deliverables

- No change.

Project Description – All Others

- No change.

Project Cost Estimate

- Equipment Use Expenses #5 "OHV Fleet Maintenance and repair"– Equipment repairs and tire replacement are considered indirect activities as they do not directly relate to the completion of the Project. The Applicant listed three (3) Project activities for this line item. Removing two (2) items reduces the line-item total by 67%. Deduct \$3,015 from Grant (could not move the cost to the Indirect

Costs category as Project is over the allowable 15% maximum indirect amount). The revised total for this line item is now Grant \$1,485 and match \$0.

- Indirect Costs #1 "Indirect Costs" – The Applicant has now exceeded the 15% allowable amount for Indirect. Deduct \$450 from match. The revised total for this line item is now Grant \$0 and match \$33,980.

Revised Totals:

Grant Request: \$226,535

Match: \$83,880

Total Project Cost: \$310,415

Evaluation Criteria

- #3 – The Project Description and/or Deliverables do not support the selection of "Maintaining trail or road tread for single vehicle use". The Applicant did not provide examples of Project activities being performed that support the selection. Deduct 1 point.
- #6 – The Project Description and/or Project Cost Estimate sections do not support "Providing an alternative to wet crossings...". The Applicant describes activities that may occur "as needed" and is not definitive whether these activities will occur. Deduct 1 point.
- #7 – The Project Description and/or Project Cost Estimate sections do not support the selections of "Barrier materials which include recycled..." and "Erosion control features which use..." are made with recycled materials. Deduct 2 points.

Acquisition: G24-01-14-A01

Project Description – Background

- No change.

Project Description – Project Description

- No change.

Project Description – List of Project Deliverables

- No change.

Project Description – All Others

- No change.

Project Cost Estimate

- Indirect Costs #1 "Indirect Costs" – Contingent Line Item: The Applicant did not provide a description for this line item. The Applicant must update the notes to include a description of the line item.

Evaluation Criteria

- #2c – The narrative does not support the selection. Applicant did not provide the name and date of an official reference document. Furthermore, this reference document must confirm the presence of cultural resources within the Project Area before acquisition. Deduct 5 points.
- #3 – The narrative did not support the selection of "Expanding the types of vehicles that can use the OHV Opportunity as the Applicant states "could" which implies it may not. No points have been deducted due to the maximum point being met.
- #5 – The Background and/or Project Description sections do not support the selections. While the Applicant updated the narrative, the Applicant did not provide supporting information that the Project will provide motorized trails, roads, and/or open area access to the selected nonmotorized recreation opportunities in the Background and/or Project Description sections. Deduct 6 points.

Law Enforcement: G24-01-14-L01

Needs Assessment

- #1 – The Applicant must provide the specific need for the Equipment requested.

Project Certification

- No change.

Project Cost Estimate

- Equipment Use Expenses #1 "OHV Patrol Fleet Maintenance" – The Applicant removed "tires" from the line item; however, the Applicant did not remove associated costs. The Applicant listed five (5) items for this line item. Removing 1 (one) item reduces the line item by 20%. Deduct \$1,000 from Grant (could not move the cost to the Indirect Costs category as Project is over the allowable 15% maximum indirect amount). The revised total for this line item is now Grant \$4,000 and match \$0.
- Indirect Cost Category #1 "Indirect Costs" – The Applicant has now exceeded the 15% maximum allowable for Indirect. Deduct \$150 match. The revised total for this line item is now Grant \$0.00 and match \$21,450.

Revised Totals:

Grant Request: \$143,000

Match: \$51,030
Total Project Cost: \$194,030